

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |   |              | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---|--------------|---------------------|
| 09/253.611                            | 02/19/99    | FARRAR               |   | P            | 303.572US1          |
| Γ                                     | MMC1/0926   |                      | コ | EXAMINER     |                     |
| THOMAS W LEFFERT                      |             |                      |   | POMPEY.R     |                     |
| SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER AND KLUTH |             |                      |   | ART UNIT     | PAPER NUMBER        |
| P 0 B0X 2938                          |             |                      |   | 2812         | 5                   |
| MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402                  |             |                      |   | DATE MAILED: | 09/26/00            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

## Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/253,611** 

Applicant(s)

Applicant

Examiner

Ron Pomp y

Group Art Unit 2812

Farrar



| Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 5, 2000  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for fin accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay(1935 C.   | formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to elonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).   | espond within the period for response will cause the   |  |  |
| Disposition of Claim   |  |  |  |
|  | is/are pending in the applicat   |  |  |
| Of the above, claim(s) 24-69   | is/are withdrawn from consideration  |  |  |
| ☐ Claim(s)   | is/are allowed.  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Claim(s)   |  |  |  |
|  | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  |  |  |
| Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority used in All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. The cecived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority. | is approved disapproved.  is approved disapproved.  under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No. Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-946 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152  | 8  |  |  |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON   | THE FOLLOWING PAGES  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2812

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7-5-00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Cook does not show immersion as a deposition technique or the metal contact having a predetermined diameter. However, Cook does state that the solder can be deposited by "evaporation or any other suitable means" and also, says a via is formed through the insulating layer to the contact pad. Because immersion is a common method for depositing solder, for example Thomas (US 4,661,375) and the via will inherently form a predetermined diameter, corresponding to the width/length of the via, Cook therefore reads on these limitations.

The thicknesses of the various layers and diameters of the solder ball are all optimized results and hold no patentable weight, see In re Aller.

Therefore the previous rejection stands.

#### Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/253,611 Page 3

Art Unit: 2812

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Pompey whose telephone number is (703) 305-3016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

Ron Pompey Art Unit: 2812

September 25, 2000

John F. Niebling \
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800